

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Do. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.ustoto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 09/831,901 | 08/07/2001 | Kanji Takada | P21010 | 2415 |
| 7055 | 7590 08/02/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. | | | GHALI, ISIS A D | |
| RESTON, V | ND CLARKE PLACE A 20191 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 1615 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/831,901 TAKADA, KANJI | | | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Isis Ghali | 1615 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | iress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | | RST REPLY WAS FILE | D WITHIN TWO | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | f will mot be entered t | h | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | • | | , the issues for | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | jeoted oldimis. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | | |
| 5. 🔯 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>rejection of claim 8 under 112 first paragraph, new matter</u> . | | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended. | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida | lotice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i | <u>iot</u> be entered is necessary | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a (1). | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: | ered but does NOT place the applic | cation in condition for | allowance | | | |
| see continuation sheet. | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I | No(s) | | | | |



13. Other: ____.

Claims 1-8, 10-18, 20-25 remain rejected as failed to comply with enablement requirement because with careful review to the specification, applicant did not disclose how the drugs will stay in the middle layer of the laminate without release till it reaches selected sites of the intestine. It is not clear from the disclosure how would the drug be prevented from release from the laminate because it can leach out from the uncovered sides from drug-containing layer. There is no showing that the formulation passes through the stomach and adheres to the intestine without release of the active agent or adherence to the stomach. Applicant shows that it can be inserted surgically in the intestine to release active agent in there, but not administered orally and still does not release the active agent or adhere to the mucosa before it reaches the intestine. The enteric polymers are pH sensitive and dissolve in acidic medium; thus, they can dissolve in the stomach and release the active agent before it reaches the target. It seems, from the present disclosure, that applicant manipulates other factors such as the thickness of the layer to control the site of the release and by encapsulating the laminate. Therefore, the claims are broad and do not meet the enablement requirements

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600